The meeting of the Technical Correlating Committee was called to order by Chair Peterson.

The meeting open up with a discussion of the Professional Qualifications document for NFPA 1072, Mr Noll was present on the phone and stated there was some agreement on the Awareness level. The discussion then focused on the fact that the term ‘analyze’ was replaced with the term ‘size up’. The question of the document being an organizational JPR or an individual JPR; Mr. Peterson stated he was aware of the concern. The question arouse if NFPA 1072 has to identify the levels.

Mr. Marlatt has concerns but the committee has not reviewed the 1072 document and was planning to do a conference call to discuss it with the 1001 committee. The concern is what a Fire Fighter does at HAZMAT going to conflict with what he (FF) does now. Do we need to incorporate the 4 JPR’s that exist for 1001 by quantifying their role?

Chair Peterson stated there are 9 JPR’s for 1072. 1001 committee should be able to extract the JPR’s needed for a Firefighter. Mr Noll stated that HAZWOPER regulations charges for all emergency responders. Mr Preston indicated that the Pro Qual documents were for the fire service but Mr Noll stated it was for all emergency responders. A discussion on the scope of the document then ensued. There is a possibility that NFPA 1072 may not be a Pro Qual doc but rather a benchmark document. Awareness, Operations and Core should be ready for September but Technician will be later.

The consensus was that the portions needed in other documents would be pulled as necessary and you would be certified to that level i.e. NFPA 1001 not NFPA 1072. Mr Marlatt asked about inconsistencies with NFPA 472 being an OSHA document and the other documents are not. Mr Noll stated he has to satisfy 1910.120. Chair Peterson feels that we are 90% and believes that once the documents are read reviewed it will be cleared.

Mr Marlatt feels that there is sufficient confusion as to what the end result will be and let the process precede. Mr Noll did state that this is an individual certification. Chair Peterson stated that SL would send out the document, review it and then bring your concerns forward to Chair and SL. They will schedule a meeting by September allowing about 2 years to review the document and go with the process. Mr Marlatt feels the NFPA
1001 technical committee should review what is done and voice their concerns before September. SL Sawyer stated that based on timelines could it wait for regular cycle? Fred pointed out the need for certifications to be involved in the review of the document. Mr Noll left the meeting and we had a 10 min. break.

Mr Chris Dubay, a VP of NFPA, was introduced. He commented on the new process allowing the TCC more time to review documents. We will be able to see the documents as we work on them and it will be the current standard. There is active recruitment of enforcers to be part of the committees and they will be supported by NFPA. Strategic goal is 20% on the committees. They are actively recruiting members to serve on all committees and looking for alternates. He also noted there is more involvement by the public.

SL talked about the NFPA, housekeeping, open meetings, research foundation, legal notices, and enforcer program. The minutes from the last meeting were approved. Mr Forsman had a question about one of the programs mentioning ethics within the fire service, supported by the research foundation, question where the request came from. There was uncertainty as to who proposed the topic and discussion ended.

The SOP document was reviewed, the task group indicated they would attempt to have a draft of this document ready to present to the Standards Council. We reviewed the proposed layout for Pro Qual documents as supplied by Mr Wieder, once his document is complete it will be incorporated into the SOP document. We reviewed the document of what the “uniform” scope will be; the document, scope and title should be viewed by the Standards Council; this lead to a discussion of the NFPA 1072 and qualifications vs. competency. Mr Preston feels that the TCC has serious concerns about the scope. NFPA 1521 has a broader set of qualifications than NFPA 1026. This topic generated discussion on the role of the TCC.

Break for lunch about 90 minutes.

The recurrent training as submitted in each document is slightly different. A task group consisting of Mr Shelton and Mr Piechota as a team combined with Mr Wieder and Mr Preston, with Mr Wieder to chair the group.

SL reviewed the document reorganization to 5-year cycles to reduce the number of certification potentials all at once. It is mapped with a career path cycle and the application of the weight of the document. Mr Marlatt is concerned about having this many documents due simultaneously will be taxing on the volunteers serving on the committees. He would like to see NFPA 1001, NFPA 1002, NFPA 1003 and NFPA 1005 over 3 cycles. It was agreed to swap NFPA 1003 with NFPA 1521 and NFPA 1005 with 1026. SL will make the adjustment.

SL reviewed the current funded projects. There was review of a letter having training for all technical committee members. Mr Forsman recommended having the training directors included in this and the TCC feels it would be appropriate.

The Correlating Committee membership interest classification is being reviewed. There is still a strong need to have alternates. Many committees have less than 3 alternates on the committee. Following the agenda, there was nothing new under FESHE and there were no other interests.

SL reviewed the new 4-step process for document revisions. The concept is to reduce the NITMAM’s by having the committees using ‘due diligence’ on initial comments and inputs. Balloting has not changed. He also encouraged having alternates vote in case the principal does not. The responsibilities of the committees have not changed.
NFPA 1006 Rescue Tech - Public comment on the table on the back stated chapters denoted where the technician could refer to for review was inaccurate. A motion was made to override the committee's action on 1006-4 Log #6. The motion carried this adjustment falls within the scope of the committee. They need to fulfill their responsibility.

NFPA 1061 Public Safety Telecommunicator - The chair, of this committee, chooses to abstain from secretarial responsibility for this discussion to avoid any potential conflict. Mr Hankins served as secretary. Ms Kilby-Richards resumed her position as secretary after discussion. APCO implies we (NFPA) are overriding their documents. Mr Forsman felt the committee had done their 'due diligence' by the TCC and this was agreed upon by the members. The committee feels a letter explaining the differences between a JPR document and a Training document should be sent to APCO. SL and Mr Ken Willette will attempt to meet with Mr Steve Wisley of APCO. Motion was carried to accept this document.

NFPA 1005 - Document will not be fully refined until another cycle has passed. Chapter 2 may be merged with the 2 JPR's the existing Chapter 1. The committee says people have to look at whom the document is referring to and who is excluded from the document. There was a discussion on 'Who is command'? There are no requirements for the officer. They feel a firefighter should not hold this position. The motion was carried for the document to proceed with no correlating committee intervention.

NFPA 1521 - Committee endorses action of the staff to correct the numbering issues. Motion carried to accept manual of style changes to chapters 5 & 6 and their respective annexes. A discussion about what the marching orders were for the 1521 committee. The committee appears to have limited knowledge of what JPR's are.

Break from 1523 until 1543.

General discussion that the TCC take no action until there is some communications between Mr Ken Holland and the new chair. Chair Peterson and SL will handle this, perhaps an email to the 1500 committee. A motion was carried for no action until after the proposed meeting with Mr Holland and the new chair.

Mr Marlatt brought up again a discussion of what 'size up' is. The discussion as it applies to the 472/1072 to replace analyze with size up. There is a perception that a FF1 will reference 1072. TCC seems to feel the definition used in 1051 should be primary and secondary from 1006. No formal action.

Standards Council items:
- Committee and document scope modification
- Document Cycle re-organization
- Interest Classification of Pro Qual CC
- CC on Pro Qual SOP Manual

Committee title and scopes, document cycle re-organization and interest classification of Pro-Qual will be presented to the Standards Council.

There will be conference call meetings in September and December. The next in person meeting will be in February (hopefully in warm place).

Motion carried to adjourn at 1636.

Respectfully submitted
Jaclyn Kilby Richards
With sections submitted by Kirk Hankins