

Amy Beasley Cronin Secretary, Standards Council

17 August 2012

To: Interested Parties

Subject:

Standards Council Decision (Final): D#12-11
Standards Council Agenda Item: SC#12-8-5-e
Date of Decision*: 9 August 2012

NFPA 61, Standard for the Prevention of Fires and Explosions in Agricultural and Food Processing Facilities, 2013 Edition

Dear Interested Parties:

At its meeting of August 7-9, 2012, the Standards Council considered an appeal on the above referenced matter.

Attached is the final decision of the Standards Council on this matter.

Sincerely,

Amy Beasley Cronin Secretary, NFPA Standards Council

Amy brashy Cromin

C: D. Berry, M. Brodoff, L. Fuller, M. Curtis, J. Goyette
 Members, Technical Committee on Agricultural Dusts (CMD-AGR)
 Members, Technical Committee on Fundamentals of Combustible Dusts (CMD-FUN)
 Members, Technical Correlating Committee on Combustible Dusts (CMD-AAC)
 Members, NFPA Standards Council (AAD-AAA)

Individuals Providing Appeal Commentary



Standards Council Decision (Final): D#12-11
Standards Council Agenda Item: SC#12-8-5-e
Date of Decision*: 9 August 2012

NFPA 61, Standard for the Prevention of Fires and Explosions in Agricultural and Food Processing Facilities, 2013 Edition

SUMMARY OF ACTION (for convenience only; not part of official decision): The Standards Council voted to deny the appeal to accept Certified Amending Motion 61-12 to accept Proposal 61-26.

DECISION:

At its meeting of August 7-9, 2012, the Standards Council considered an appeal from Erdem A. Ural of Loss Prevention Science & Technologies, Inc. The appeal requests that the 2013 Edition of NFPA 61, *Standard for the Prevention of Fires and Explosions in Agricultural and Food Processing Facilities*, be issued with the acceptance of Certified Amending Motion (CAM) 61-12, which sought to accept Proposal 61-26. Specifically, the appellant seeks to insert a new Section 6.2, subsections and associated annex material relating to risk evaluation, isolation of equipment and isolation of upstream areas.

As background, the Technical Committee on Agricultural Dusts (TC) rejected Proposal 61-26 to insert a new Section 6.2, subsections and associated annex material. Subsequently, the TC decided to Hold Comment 61-15 that sought to accept Proposal 61-26 for the next revision cycle. A Certified Amending Motion (CAM) 61-12 that sought to accept Proposal 61-26 was made at the 2012 Association Technical Meeting (Tech Session). The motion failed. This means, under NFPA rules, that no change from the existing edition should occur. In this case, the recommendation that comes to Council is that proposed new Section 6.2, subsections and associated annex material relating to risk evaluation, isolation of equipment and isolation of upstream areas is not added.

The appeal requests that the Council overturn the action that was recommended by the codes and standards development process. On appeal, the Council accords great respect and deference to the NFPA codes and standards development process. In conducting its review, the Council will overturn the result recommended through that process only where a clear and substantial basis for doing so is demonstrated. The Council has reviewed the entire record concerning this matter and has considered all the arguments put forth in this appeal. In the view of the Council, this appeal does not present any clear and substantial basis on which to overturn the results yielded by the NFPA codes and standards development process. Accordingly, the Council has voted to deny the appeal. The effect of this action is that the proposed new Section 6.2, subsections and associated annex material relating to risk evaluation, isolation of equipment and isolation of upstream areas is not added to the new edition of NFPA 61.

The denial of this appeal does not mean that consideration of the issue raised by the appeal should come to an end. As with all NFPA standards, consideration of revisions to the standard continue through the regular revision cycle and where appropriate, submission of Tentative Interim Amendments. In this and related appeals (see Standards Council Decisions D#12-7, D#12-8, D#12-9 and D#12-10), the appellant raises important issues related principally to the need for consistency and correlation among NFPA dust standards. The Council having previously considered this question, determined that the best approach to addressing issues of correlation and consistency was to create a Technical Correlating Committee (TCC) with jurisdiction over combustible dusts (see Minute Item 11-3-24). The TCC's scope was approved at this meeting (see Minute Item 12-8-58). In addition, the Council also directed the creation of a new committee on Fundamentals within the dust project (see Minute Item 11-3-24) and also approved the scope at this meeting (see Minute Item 12-8-58). The Council believes that this new committee structure provides the best means going forward for addressing technical issues raised by combustible dusts, including agricultural dusts, and to do so in a manner that achieves correlation and consistency.