6 August 2010

To: Interested Parties

Subject: Standards Council Decision (Final): D#10-6
Standards Council Agenda Item: SC#10-8-1-f
Date of Decision*: 5 August 2010

NFPA 70®, National Electrical Code®, 2011 edition

Dear Interested Parties:

At its meeting of 3-5 August 2010, the Standards Council considered an appeal on the above referenced matter.

Attached is the final decision of the Standards Council on this matter.

Sincerely,

Amy Beasley Cronin
Secretary, NFPA Standards Council

c: D. Berry, M. Brodoff, L. Fuller, M. Earley, J. O’Connor
   Members, NEC Panel 9 (NEC-P09)
   Members, NEC TCC (NEC-AAC)
   Members, NFPA Standards Council (AAD-AAA)
   Individuals Providing Appeal Commentary

*NOTE: Participants in NFPA’s codes and standards making process should know that limited review of this decision may be sought from the NFPA Board of Directors. For the rules describing the available review and the method for petitioning the Board for review, please consult section 1-7 of the NFPA Regulations Governing Committee Projects and the NFPA Regulations Governing Petitions to the Board of Directors from Decisions of the Standards Council. Notice of the intent to file such a petition must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board of Directors within 15 calendar days of the Date of Decision noted in the subject line of this letter.
SUMMARY ACTION: The Council voted to deny the appeal to accept Comment 9-26 to add new text as a new Section 314.17(E) to require that outlet box covers be used to protect the inside of boxes during construction.

At its meeting of August 3-5, 2010, the Standards Council considered an appeal from Carlo Compagnone, Jr. of CompaCovers, Inc., requesting that the 2011 edition of NFPA 70®, National Electrical Code®, be issued with the acceptance of Comment 9-26. Specifically the appeal requests that new text be added as a new Section 314.17(E) to require that outlet covers be used to protect the inside of boxes during construction.

As background, the material was submitted to Panel 9 and rejected as Proposal 9-52. The material was subsequently submitted and rejected as Comment 9-26. A Certified Amending Motion (CAM 70-12) seeking acceptance of Comment 9-26 was made at the 2010 Association Technical Meeting (Tech Session). The motion failed.

The appeal requests that the Standards Council overturn the action that was recommended by the full NFPA codes and standards development process. This recommendation represents the consensus judgment of the responsible panel and the NEC Technical Correlating Committee, a judgment that was also supported by a vote of the NFPA membership at the 2010 Tech Session. The appellant has had the opportunity to advocate his position at each stage of the full codes and standards process, and failed to persuade the consensus process to adopt his position.

On appeal, the Standards Council accords great respect and deference to the NFPA codes and standards development process. In conducting its review, the Council will overturn the result recommended through that process, only where a clear and substantial basis for doing so is demonstrated. The Council has reviewed the entire record concerning this matter and has considered all the arguments put forth in this appeal. In the view of the Council, this appeal does not present any clear and substantial basis on which to overturn the results yielded by the NFPA codes and standards development process. Accordingly, the Council has voted to deny the appeal. The effect of this action is that the proposed new Section 314.17(E) to require outlet covers be used to protect the inside of boxes during construction is not added.