17 August 2010

To: Interested Parties

Subject:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards Council Decision (Final):</th>
<th>D#10-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standards Council Agenda Item:</td>
<td>SC#10-8-3-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Decision*:</td>
<td>5 August 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Dear Interested Parties:

At its meeting of 3-5 August 2010, the Standards Council considered an appeal on the above referenced matter.

Attached is the final decision of the Standards Council on this matter.

Sincerely,

Amy Beasley Cronin
Secretary, NFPA Standards Council

c: D. Berry, M. Brodoff, L. Fuller, M. Klaus, J. Moreau-Correia
   Members, TC on Inspection, Testing, & Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems (INM-AAA)
   Members, NFPA Standards Council (AAD-AAA)
   Individuals Providing Appeal Commentary

*NOTE: Participants in NFPA’s codes and standards making process should know that limited review of this decision may be sought from the NFPA Board of Directors. For the rules describing the available review and the method for petitioning the Board for review, please consult section 1-7 of the NFPA Regulations Governing Committee Projects and the NFPA Regulations Governing Petitions to the Board of Directors from Decisions of the Standards Council. Notice of the intent to file such a petition must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board of Directors within 15 calendar days of the Date of Decision noted in the subject line of this letter.*
SUMMARY ACTION: The Standards Council voted to deny the appeal to accept Comment 25-11 which proposed to return the definition of “deficiency” back to previous edition text.

At its meeting of August 3-5, 2010, the Standards Council considered an appeal from Joshua Elvove of the US General Services Administration, requesting the acceptance of Comment 25-11 on the 2011 edition of NFPA 25, Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems. Specifically, the appellant seeks to return the definition of “deficiency” back to previous edition text.

As background, Proposal 25-19 sought revisions to the definition of “deficiency” as set forth in Section 3.3.4. The Proposal was accepted in principle, with modifications by the Technical Committee on Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Systems (TC). Subsequently, Comment 25-11 sought rejection of the committee action on Proposal 25-19, but the TC rejected this Comment. A Certified Amending Motion (CAM 25-4) seeking to accept Comment 25-11 was made at the 2010 Association Technical Meeting (Tech Session). The motion failed.

The appeal requests that the Council overturn the action that was recommended by the NFPA codes and standards development process. On appeal, the Council accords great respect and deference to the NFPA codes and standards development process. In conducting its review, the Council will overturn the result recommended through that process, only where a clear and substantial basis for doing so is demonstrated. The Council has reviewed the entire record concerning this matter and has considered all the arguments put forth in this appeal. In the view of the Council, this appeal does not present any clear and substantial basis on which to overturn the results yielded by the NFPA codes and standards development process. Accordingly, the Council has voted to deny the appeal. The effect of this action is that Comment 25-11 is rejected and the modified definition of “deficiency” will be retained in the new edition.