Key findings

More than one-quarter of the reported fires in 2015–2019 (26 percent) occurred in home environments. In addition, three-quarters of the civilian fire deaths (75 percent) and almost three-quarters of the reported civilian fire injuries (72 percent) during that time period were caused by home structure fires.

During this five-year period, US fire departments responded to an estimated average of 346,800 home structure fires per year. These fires caused an annual average of 2,620 civilian deaths; 11,070 civilian fire injuries; and $7.3 billion in direct property damage.

Of the reported home fires in 2015–2019, 69 percent occurred in one- or two-family homes, including manufactured homes. These fires caused 85 percent of the home fire deaths, 65 percent of the home fire injuries, and 79 percent of the direct property damage.

Certain scenarios appeared to be more dangerous during this time period than in the past. The death rate per 1,000 reported home fires that began with the ignition of either upholstered furniture or mattresses and bedding in 2015–2019 was more than double what it was in 1980–1984.

Most home fires and fire casualties resulted from one of five causes: cooking, heating equipment, electrical distribution and lighting equipment, intentional fire setting, and smoking materials. Over the five-year period of 2015–2019, cooking was the leading cause of home fires and home fire injuries. Smoking materials caused the most home fire deaths.

While the number of reported home fires and the number of home fire deaths have been cut in half since 1980 and population-based home fire and home fire death rates have fallen by roughly two-thirds, the death rate per 1,000 reported home fires has remained fairly consistent. This rate was slightly higher recently than it was in 1980 for homes overall and even more so for one- and two-family homes. It appears that most of the reduction in fire deaths over the past decades has been due to a reduction in fires rather than the prevention of harm after a fire is reported.

Trends in reported fires

Figure 1 shows that the 2020 estimate of 356,500 reported home fires was 51 percent lower than the estimate of 734,000 in 1980 and 5 percent higher than the 2019 estimate of 339,500. These results, which come from the NFPA fire experience survey, are provided annually in the NFPA Fire Loss in the United States report. The decline in reported fires was sharpest during the 1980s, continued more slowly in the 1990s, and mostly leveled off in the past two decades.

The 2020 estimate of reported fires in one- or two-family homes was 54 percent lower than in 1980 and 2 percent higher than the 264,500 in 1990. The 2020 estimate of fires in apartments or other multifamily structures was 40 percent lower than in 1980. Apartment fires jumped 15 percent from 75,000 in 2019 to 86,000 in 2020, negating the 13 percent decline that occurred between 2018 and 2019.

The 2020 home fire death toll of 2,580 was 50 percent lower than the 5,200 deaths in 1980 and 7 percent lower than the 2019 estimate of 2,770.


---

1 Death and injury estimates exclude firefighter casualties.

2 The term home includes one- or two-family homes, manufactured homes, and apartments or other multifamily housing, regardless of ownership.

3 The term electrical distribution and lighting equipment includes installed wiring, outlets, switches, cords, plugs, power supplies, and lighting.
The estimated number of reported home fire injuries in 2020 was 42 percent lower than the 1980 estimate and 6 percent lower than the estimated 12,200 in 2019. See Figure 3.

The population-based home fire and fire death rates in 2020 were roughly one-third the rates from 1980. The rate of reported home fires per thousand population fell from 3.2 in 1980 to 1.1 in 2020. In addition, the 2020 rate of 7.8 home fire deaths per million population was 66 percent lower than the 22.9 deaths per million population in 1980. See Figure 4.

In 2020, the overall home fire death rate per 1,000 reported fires was 7.2, a 2 percent increase from 1980. The death rate per 1,000 reported apartment fires fell 43 percent to 4.1 during the same period. Apartment buildings, particularly high-rise apartments, are more regulated than one- or two-family homes. The rate of 8.2 deaths per 1,000 reported one- or two-family home fires was 16 percent higher than the 1980 rate.

While rates fluctuated, 1984 was the only year in which the death rate per 1,000 one- or two-family home fires (6.5) was lower than it was in 1980. See Figure 5. During 2015–2019, 71 percent of US housing units were in one- or two-family dwellings, including manufactured homes but excluding attached one-family homes such as row or townhouses.

The 2020 rate of 33.7 civilian injuries per 1,000 apartment fires was 34 percent higher than the 1980 rate of 25.1. For one- or two-family home fires, the 2020 rate of 31.8 injuries per 1,000 fires was 17 percent higher than the 1980 rate of 27.3. The 32.3 injuries per 1,000 home fires overall in 2020 was 20 percent higher than the 26.8 rate in 1980. See Figure 6.

One reason for the disparity between the death rates for reported apartment fires and one- or two-family home fires is that more code requirements regulate apartments than one- or two-family homes. As a result, apartment buildings are more likely to have monitored smoke detection systems that notify the fire department when activated. This could also result in more minor fires being reported in apartment properties. From 2015 to 2019, 63 percent of the reported apartment fires had incident types indicating a confined cooking fire. Many were extinguished by the time the fire department arrived. Only 26 percent of the fires in one- or two-family homes were confined cooking fires. Apartments are also more likely to have sprinklers than one- or two-family homes.
About the data

The trends discussed above are based on summary data collected by NFPA’s fire department experience survey. The survey results are combined with the more detailed, incident-based National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS) data to provide a deeper understanding of the causes and circumstances of these fires. The most current NFIRS national dataset is for 2019. Estimates are typically presented as national averages. Unknown data were allocated proportionally.

Who are the victims?

Of the people who died in home fires, 57 percent were male, as were 55 percent of those who were non-fatally injured.

Figure 7 shows that nearly three out of every five fatal home fire victims were 55 years old or over (57 percent), while nearly two out of every five were at least 65 years old (37 percent). One of every five fatal home fire victims was between 55 and 64 years of age (20 percent).

More than three of every five people who were non-fatally injured were between 25 and 64 years of age (62 percent). NFPA’s report Home Fire Victims by Age and Gender provides more details on the ages and genders of casualties by the leading fire causes.
Figure 8 shows that those who were fatally injured in home fires were more likely to have been trying to escape, sleeping, unable to act, or acting irrationally than the non-fatally injured. In contrast, the non-fatally injured were much more likely to have been trying to fight the fire themselves. They were also more likely to have been engaged in a rescue attempt or to have returned to the fire area.

Gilbert and Butry found that that population frailty — defined by age- and gender-adjusted natural cause mortality rates — can help identify populations vulnerable to fire death but not those vulnerable to non-fatal injuries.3

When are home fires most common?

Not surprisingly, home structure fires are more common in the cooler months when people spend more time inside, as well as in the hours when people are awake in the home. In 2015–2019, 46 percent of the home structure fires and 55 percent of the home structure fire deaths occurred in the five-month span from November to March. The number of reported home fires peaked from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m., when many people are coming home from work, preparing dinner, or engaging in other household activities. Only one-fifth of the home fires during this period were reported between 11:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. (19 percent), but these fires caused half of the home fire deaths (49 percent).

Leading causes of home fires

Estimates of the leading causes of fires and associated losses in 2015–2019 were pulled from several data elements in NFIRS, so double counting is possible. The likely severity of a reported fire can be measured in deaths or injuries per 1,000 fires and average property loss per fire.

Figure 9 shows that cooking was the leading cause of reported home structure fires and civilian fire injuries and the second leading cause of civilian fire deaths from 2015 to 2019. Cooking caused an average of 169,400 home fires per year. These fires caused an annual average of 540 civilian deaths; 4,670 civilian injuries; and $1.2 billion in property damage. Cooking was the leading cause of fires in both one- or two-family homes and apartments, but it caused a much larger share of fires in the latter (72 percent) than the former (38 percent). While cooking was the leading cause of home fires and fire injuries, it ranked lower on casualties per 1,000 reported fires (3 deaths and 28 injuries) and was last among the major causes for average loss per reported fire ($7,100). See the NFPA report Home Cooking Fires for more details on how cooking fires start.

Fires started by smoking materials have been the leading cause or one of the leading causes of home fire fatalities for decades. This was still true for the 2015–2019 period, during which an estimated average of 16,300 such fires caused an average of 600 deaths; 1,030 injuries; and $511 million in direct property damage annually. The 37 deaths and 63 injuries per 1,000 reported fires caused by smoking materials were five times the rate of deaths (8) and twice the rate of injuries (32) per 1,000 reported home fires overall. For more information, see the NFPA report Home Fires Started by Smoking.

Heating equipment was the second leading cause of home fires and home fire injuries and the third leading cause of home fire deaths and direct property damage from 2015 to 2019. An average of 45,800 such fires caused 480 deaths; 1,350 injuries; and $1.0 billion in direct property damage per year. Heating equipment was the leading cause of fire deaths in one- or two-family homes. Although space heaters, including portable heaters and those that are permanently installed, were involved in only 4 percent of the total home
fires, these incidents accounted for 15 percent of all the home fire deaths. Confined chimney or flue fires accounted for 4 percent of the total home fires and 6 percent of the fires in one- or two-family homes. Losses from these incidents were minimal. For more information, see the NFPA report *Home Heating Fires*.

Electrical distribution or lighting equipment was the leading cause of home fire property damage. An average of 32,000 such fires caused 430 deaths; 1,060 injuries; and $1.3 billion in direct property damage annually. Wiring and related equipment accounted for 6 percent of all the home fires and 7 percent of all the home fire deaths. Cords or plugs were involved in only 1 percent of the fires but 7 percent of the deaths. Extension cords dominated the cord or plug category.

In this report, any home fire with an incident type of confined chimney or flue fire is considered a chimney fire. The *Home Heating Fires* report includes a breakdown of the equipment involved in these confined chimney or flue fires. Consequently, it shows more fires in fireplaces and fixed heaters, usually woodstoves, and fewer chimney fires.
Electrical failures or malfunctions can occur in any type of equipment powered by electricity. In 2012–2016, half of these fires involved electrical distribution or lighting equipment.\(^4\) Cooking equipment, heating equipment, fans, air conditioners, and dryers accounted for most of the remaining home fires started by electrical failure or malfunction. More information is available in the NFPA report *Home Electrical Fires.*

The 28,400 intentional home fires per year from 2015 to 2019 caused an annual average of 380 deaths, 800 injuries, and $554 million in direct property damage. More information is available in the NFPA report *Intentional Fires.*

According to death certificate data, 64 percent of all the intentional fire or flame deaths in 2015–2019 (including non-home fires) were suicides.\(^5\) Conventional fire prevention and fire protection efforts alone cannot prevent these deaths.

Intentional fires heavily overlap with, but are not identical to, legally defined arson fires. For example, children under the age of legal responsibility sometimes intentionally start fires.

Candles started an average of 7,400 home fires (2 percent) annually, resulting in an average of 90 deaths (3 percent), 670 injuries (6 percent), and $291 million in direct property damage (4 percent). Candle fires had an injury rate of 91 per 1,000 reported fires, roughly three times the overall home fire injury rate.

Fires caused by exposure to another fire had the highest average property loss per fire of all the major causes. The 11,700 home fires per year resulting from exposure (3 percent) caused an average of 30 deaths (1 percent), 60 injuries (1 percent), and $789 million in direct property damage (11 percent). The average loss of $67,400 per exposure fire was more than three times higher than the overall average loss of $21,200 per fire.

In the past decade, the leading causes of home fire deaths have converged. For most of the past few decades, smoking materials were clearly the leading cause. While smoking materials were the leading cause of home fire deaths over the five-year period of 2015–2019, cooking was the leading cause in 2015. Smoking materials caused the largest number of deaths in 2016–2019. See Figure 10.

Xiong, Bruck, and Ball conducted interviews with Australians who survived unintentional residential fires without serious injury. They grouped the fire causes into three broad categories: unsafe human behaviors, human long-term inaction, and no human action involved.\(^6\) Their research could provide some insight into the US fire experience. Unsafe human behaviors were reported in almost half of the Australian fires (46 percent) and typically occurred within a short time (hours at most) of the start of the fire. Unattended cooking, playing with fire, combustibles too close to a heat source, and discarded cigarettes are examples of unsafe behaviors. Forgetfulness and distraction were also mentioned frequently.

Compared to fires with no human involvement, unsafe human behaviors were commonly seen in fires when one or more of the following was true: the individual was in the room of origin when the fire started; the kitchen was the room of origin; the individual suffered from mental illness; the fire was in an apartment or rental property; or the individual suffered from...
physical illness, was not working full-time, or was asleep. Human long-term inaction, such as a failure to clean grease or creosote buildup, failing to replace worn-out equipment, or overloading equipment caused 14 percent of the fires. However, 40 percent of the fires were not attributed to any human action. These fires were typically started by faults in electrical or ignition systems.

These categories could be applied to the traditional US fire causes mentioned throughout this report. For example, a review of the factors contributing to ignition in cooking fires in 2014–2018 shows that the vast majority of these fires and casualties were caused by unsafe human behaviors, such as unattended cooking, abandoned material, combustibles too close to cooking equipment, unclassified misuse of material, or equipment that was unintentionally turned on or not turned off. Failure to clean the grease from a stovetop or oven is an example of human long-term inaction. Roughly 10 percent of the cooking fires were caused by mechanical or electrical failures or malfunctions, which are general examples of fires with no human action involved. In some cases, these failures might have occurred because the equipment was worn out.

Similar breakdowns could be done for other causes, such as heating and electrical distribution and lighting equipment. While such an analysis is beyond the scope of this report, breaking out the causes in this way can help better target prevention strategies.

**Area of origin, victim’s location, and fire spread**

As cooking is the leading cause of home fires and fire injuries, it is not surprising that the kitchen was the leading area of origin for home fires and injuries. See Figure 11. Apartment or multifamily housing fires were more likely to start in the kitchen (69 percent) than were fires in one- or two-family homes (33 percent).

---

**Figure 11. Leading areas of origin in home structure fires: 2015–2019**
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedroom</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen or cooking area</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified function area</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Injuries</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen or cooking area</td>
<td>39%</td>
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<tr>
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*Does not include fires with property use coded as a residential garage.
Roughly two-thirds of the home fire deaths (66 percent) and injuries (69 percent) were caused by fires in just three rooms: living rooms, bedrooms, and kitchens.

While these three areas were among those associated with the highest property damage, home fires starting in garages (3 percent), on exterior balconies or open porches (3 percent), and in attics or ceiling roof assemblies/concealed spaces (2 percent) all caused a disproportionate amount of property damage. Fires in these spaces may be less likely to be discovered when the fire is small than fires in interior living spaces.

Figure 12 shows that deaths from fires originating in living rooms fell more sharply than deaths from fires starting in bedrooms and kitchens. Historically, the largest number of fire deaths result from fires starting in living rooms. The differences between the three leading areas of origin for home fire deaths have decreased over time, with deaths from bedroom fires sometimes slightly exceeding the number of those resulting from fires starting in living rooms.

Compared to home fire deaths in 1980–1984, the average number of deaths in 2015–2019 resulting from fires starting in the living room fell 65 percent, deaths from fires beginning in the bedroom fell 47 percent, and deaths from fires starting in the kitchen dropped 33 percent.

Fires in the living room were more likely to cause death than fires in other areas. Despite the drop in deaths in all three locations, the average death rate per 1,000 reported fires was twice as high for fires that started in either the living room or bedroom in 2015–2019 compared to 1980–1984. Today’s reported kitchen fires were less likely to result in death than such fires in the earlier period. See Figure 13.

In a 2012 article, UL’s Stephen Kerber described experimental burns comparing living-room-style spaces containing items common to modern homes and rooms with legacy furnishings. He discovered flashover times decreased from roughly 30 minutes with legacy furnishings to roughly five minutes with the modern items.

Half of those killed by reported home fires that began in the living room and two-thirds of those killed in bedroom fires were in the room or area of origin when the fire started, compared to almost two of every five kitchen fire

---

\[v\] Version 5.0 of NFIRS was introduced in 1999 and was adopted gradually over the next several years. Due to the instability of the estimates for 1999–2001 — the transition years to NFIRS 5.0 — estimates for these years are not included in the graphs.
deaths. Roughly two-thirds to three-quarters of the fatal fire victims who were in the area of origin were also involved in the ignition. See Figure 14.

Both fatal and non-fatal fire victims who were not in the area of origin when the fire started were more likely to be sleeping than were other fire victims. The percentage of fire fatalities involving sleeping victims was higher than the percentage of those who were sleeping and sustained non-fatal injuries. Fatal fire victims were also more likely to be physically disabled or impaired by alcohol than were those who were non-fatally injured. See Figure 15.

Analysis of the NFIRS data indicates that, from 2015 to 2019, 10 percent of the home fire fatalities were possibly impaired by alcohol and 4 percent were possibly impaired by other drugs or chemicals. Some individuals could have had both drugs and alcohol in their bloodstream.

NFIRS data comes from the fire service and is likely to underestimate the role of alcohol and drugs. Autopsy results indicate more frequent alcohol involvement than the NFIRS reports would suggest. Autopsies are routinely done on Minnesota fatal fire victims. Alcohol or drug use was a factor in 35 percent of the total Minnesota fire deaths in 2016, 26 percent in 2017, 49 percent in 2018, and 47 percent in 2019.9

![Figure 14. Home fire deaths in area of origin by involvement in ignition and area of origin: 2015–2019](image)

![Figure 15. Home fire deaths and injuries by victim proximity to fire origin and NFIRS human factor contributing to injury: 2015–2019](image)
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Alcohol is widely cited as a contributing factor to fatal fires. Many factors correlate with each other. Citing data from the *National Survey on Drug Use and Health*, Higgins and his colleagues reported that in 2011–2013, 64 percent of people who abused or were dependent on illicit drugs were current smokers, as were 44 percent of those who abused or were dependent on alcohol, 32 percent of those with a mental illness, and 33 percent of those with incomes below the poverty level.10 Citing more recent data from the same survey, Weinberger and her colleagues reported that 38 percent of people with any alcohol use disorder (AUD) were current smokers in 2016 compared to 16 percent with no AUD. The percentage of smokers increased with AUD severity to 52 percent with severe AUD.11

A review of European and Australian research indicates that many factors associated with US home fires and casualties are also seen in other industrialized countries. In England, cooking caused roughly half of the reported unintentional dwelling fires and associated injuries from April 2018 to March 2019. Smoking materials caused only 8 percent of the fires but 34 percent of the deaths,12 compared to 5 percent of the fires and 23 percent of the deaths in the US in 2015–2019. While the percentages differ, the patterns are similar.

Some risk factors are better documented in other countries than in the US. In the US, fatal fires in which possible mental impairment was indicated were typically cases of self-immolation. Dementia, developmental disabilities, and possible mental illnesses were not specifically captured. Smoking, alcohol, advanced age, physical disabilities, and fires that begin in the living room or bedroom are common factors in fatal fires in the US and abroad. These many shared factors suggest that their experience with other factors could be relevant to the US.

Sesseng, Storesund, and Steen-Hansen found that being a smoker, having a mental illness, and being alone at the time of a fire were common factors for victims of all ages in Norwegian fatal fires. Known substance abuse and being under the influence were more common in victims under 67 years of age, while older adult victims were more likely to have reduced mobility and/or cognitive impairments.13

Australian researchers Xiong, Bruck, and Ball compared factors associated with residential fires that were fatal and those that were not. Many differences were found between survivors and fatal fire victims. Those who died were significantly more likely to have:

- Taken psychotropic or sedative drugs
- Been in a fire started by a discarded cigarette
- Been living alone
- Been more than 70 years of age
- Been asleep at the time of the fire
- Been in the room of origin at the time of the fire
- Consumed alcohol before the fire
- Been suffering from a physical illness

Fires that began in the living room or bedroom were also more likely to result in death, as were fires in homes that were moderately to severely cluttered or in a state of disrepair. Pre-existing disabilities and mental illnesses were associated with a greater likelihood of death. The risk was also higher in rental housing.14

Giebultowicz and her colleagues analyzed 263 dwelling fire death cases from the Mazowieckie region of Poland in 2003–2011. They found that roughly three out of every five victims were in the room of origin with about half found near upholstered furniture that had burned. Of the victims, 70 percent were men. Of those men, 70 percent had consumed alcohol. Living alone was another risk factor.15

While the US has little statistical information on the role of cognitive decline, mental illness, hoarding, or living alone as factors in fire deaths, anecdotal evidence suggests that they also play a role in US fire deaths. According to the *Current Population Survey* from the US Census,16 the percentage of households with only one person increased from 23 percent in 1980 to 28 percent in 2019. A large portion of the people living alone are seniors.
According to the Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies report, *Housing America’s Older Adults 2019*, 42 percent of households headed by someone 65 or older contained only one person. This climbed to 57 percent for households headed by someone 80 or older. If the trend continues, in 2038, there will be 10.1 million single-person households with someone 80 or older. Some clusters can be found in the statistics for US home fire fatalities. In an analysis of 2011–2015 home fire deaths and injuries, smoking was the leading cause of home fire deaths overall. However, this was true only in the age group of 45–84 years old. Among those 85 and older, cooking caused the most deaths.

Of the cooking fire deaths in 2011–2015, 18 percent were caused by the 1 percent of such fires that began with clothing ignition. Four out of five cooking/clothing ignition victims were at least 65 years old, suggesting that reduced mobility may have played a role.

Of the people who died in intentional home fires, 58 percent were between 35–64 years of age. Two-thirds of the victims were male.

**Fire causes by area of origin**

The leading causes of fires in living rooms and in bedrooms in 2015–2019 differ greatly from those in kitchen fires, and they are much more varied. See Figure 16. Electrical distribution and lighting equipment was the leading cause of fires originating in bedrooms, the second leading cause of fires in living rooms, and the cause of roughly one in five fire deaths in both rooms. Roughly one-third of the deaths resulting from fires starting in each of these rooms resulted from fires started by smoking materials. Candles started a larger percentage of the fires in these rooms than in the kitchen. Eight percent of bedroom fires were started by someone playing with a heat source, such as a lighter, candle, or matches. According to the 2021 NFPA report *Playing with Fire: Structure Fires*, 34 percent of the home structure fires caused by fire play in 2014–2018 started in a bedroom. Bedroom fires caused 42 percent of the deaths and 47 percent of the injuries that resulted from home fire play.

If a fire started in a bedroom with no smoke alarm and the door was closed, serious injury could occur before the smoke reached a hallway smoke alarm. Interconnected alarms with bedroom coverage would sound and alert others in the home early in the fire’s development.

While space heater fires were an issue in both living rooms and bedrooms, fireplace and chimney fires were a particular issue in living rooms. Most fireplaces and woodstoves are in these rooms.

As noted earlier, cooking is by far the leading cause of home fires and, predictably, the cause of most kitchen fires and fire casualties. According to the 2020 NFPA report *Home Cooking Fires*, more than one-quarter of the fatal home cooking fire victims in 2014–2018 (28 percent) were asleep at the time of injury. In addition, 52 percent of the non-fatal cooking injuries occurred when someone tried to fight the fire themselves.

Although heating equipment was the second leading cause of kitchen fires and losses, the heating equipment most often involved was a heating stove. It is likely that some of these were kitchen ranges that were miscoded.

**Leading items first ignited in home structure fires**

With cooking being the leading cause of home fires, it is not surprising that cooking materials, including food, were the leading items first ignited in home fires and in fires that caused injuries. A wider variety of items first ignited was seen with home fire deaths. See Figure 17. The two leading items in home fire deaths were upholstered furniture and mattresses or bedding, consistent with the leading areas of origin associated with fire deaths.

---

vi NFIRS groups upholstered sofas, chairs, and vehicle seats into one code choice for item first ignited. NFPA combines two NFIRS item first ignited codes — a) mattress or pillow and b) bedding, blanket, sheet, or comforter — into the category of mattress or bedding. Some furniture, such as folded mattresses covered with upholstery fabric (futons), traditional sleep sofas with pullout mattresses, and upholstered furniture with cloth protectors or throw-style furniture covers, could potentially be coded as either upholstered furniture or mattresses and bedding.
While upholstered furniture was first ignited in an average of only 4,800 reported home fires per year (1 percent), these incidents caused 410 deaths (16 percent), 650 injuries (6 percent), and $242 million in direct property damage (3 percent) annually.

The 8,000 fires per year that began with mattresses or bedding (2 percent) caused an annual average of 320 deaths, (12 percent), 990 injuries (9 percent), and $276 million in direct property damage (4 percent) annually.

The average number of deaths from home fires beginning with the ignition of upholstered furniture in 2015–2019 was 66 percent lower than the 1980–1984 average. Deaths from fires starting with mattresses or bedding were
down 58 percent from the earlier period. See Figure 18. Although the death toll from upholstered furniture and mattresses or bedding has fallen, the death rate per 1,000 reported fires beginning with these items in 2015–2019 was twice as high for upholstered furniture and more than twice as high for mattresses and bedding as in 1980–1984. See Figure 18.

Fires starting with upholstered furniture and mattresses or bedding are relatively low-frequency, high-consequence fires. On average, one of every 12 upholstered furniture fires and one of every 25 mattress or bedding fires in 2015–2019 resulted in death.

**Figure 17. Leading items first ignited in home structure fires: 2015–2019**

**Figure 18. Home fire deaths from fires starting with upholstered furniture or mattresses or bedding by year: 1980–2018**

**Figure 19. Deaths per 1,000 fires that began with upholstered furniture or mattresses or bedding 1980–1984 vs. 2015–2019**
In addition to being the leading items first ignited for fire deaths, both upholstered furniture and mattresses or bedding often served as secondary fuel sources, increasing fire spread even when they were not the item first ignited. A 2021 paper by this report’s author noted that in 2013–2017, upholstered furniture was the major contributor to fire spread in an average of 2,000 such home fires, causing 120 deaths annually. Mattresses or bedding were not the items first ignited but contributed most to fire spread in an average of 2,000 fires per year during that time, resulting in 50 deaths annually. When fires beginning with these items were combined with those in which the items contributed most to fire spread, the fire spread contribution accounted for 28 percent of the upholstered furniture fires and 21 percent of the associated deaths. This was also true for 19 percent of the mattress or bedding fires and 13 percent of the deaths.

**Leading heat sources associated with home fire deaths and injuries**

Three categories of heat sources — operating equipment; smoking materials; and lighters, candles, or matches (small open flames) — combined caused the fires that led to three-quarters (74 percent) of the total home fire deaths and injuries (75 percent) from 2015 to 2019.

Considerable attention has been paid to cigarettes and small open flames as ignition sources. While fires started by operating equipment such as ranges, heating equipment, dryers, and extension cords often have a human element, the role of operating equipment should not be overlooked. Automatic shutoffs and other safety features can protect against predictable human error.

The category of operating equipment includes the following four NFIRS heat source codes:

- Radiated or conducted heat from operating equipment
- Sparks, embers, or flames from operating equipment
- Arcing
- Unclassified heat from powered equipment

During 2015–2019, some type of operating equipment was the heat source in an average of 179,300 home structure fires per year (52 percent). These fires caused an annual average of 1,020 deaths (39 percent); 5,830 injuries (53 percent); and $3.0 billion in direct property damage (41 percent).

During the same period, lighters, candles, or matches were the heat source in an average of 25,100 home structure fires per year (7 percent). These fires caused an annual average of 320 deaths (12 percent); 1,480 injuries (13 percent); and $565 million in direct property damage (8 percent).

As discussed previously, smoking materials, including cigarettes, pipes, cigars, and undetermined smoking materials, were the heat source in an annual average of 16,300 home fires (5 percent) that caused an annual average of 600 deaths (23 percent); 1,030 injuries (9 percent); and $511 million in direct property damage (7 percent).

It is likely that some portion of the fires said to have been started by hot embers or ashes (23,100 fires; 90 deaths; 380 injuries; and $460 million in direct property damage) were actually started by smoking materials. Investigations performed by the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) into 19 fatal residential fires in 2017 in which the NFIRS heat source was hot ember or ash found that 13 were started by cigarettes. CPSC did not investigate non-fatal fires with a heat source code of hot ember or ash. NFPA has not made these adjustments in its analyses.

Consequently, it appears the estimates of fires started by smoking materials and the associated losses in this report likely underrepresent the true size of the smoking materials fire problem. It is also likely that some portion of the smoking materials fires were started by marijuana cigarettes. Unfortunately, such incidents cannot be identified using the coded data in NFIRS.

Figure 20 shows that deaths from fires started by smoking materials and by lighters, candles, or matches have fallen more than deaths from fires started by small open flames or operating equipment.
According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 33.2 percent of adults smoked cigarettes in 1980. In 2019, 16.7 percent smoked combustible tobacco products and 14.0 percent smoked cigarettes, specifically. The annual average death toll from fires started by smoking materials was 64 percent lower in 2015–2019 than it was in 1980–1984, while deaths from fires started by small open flames (lighters, candles, or matches) were down 59 percent compared to the earlier period. The death toll from fires started by operating equipment was 45 percent lower than in 1980–1984.

While the death toll from these fires has fallen, the death rate per 1,000 reported fires started by these heat sources has increased. The increase in death rates per 1,000 fires was not as large for smoking materials or small open flames compared to the rate of increase for fires starting in soft furnishings. This suggests that the heat source is not driving the increased death rates per reported fires for upholstered furniture or mattresses and bedding. See Figure 21.

Preventing fires and fire losses

In a 2020 article, Nilson and Bonander describe five possible points of intervention to prevent fire deaths: “reduce heat; stop ignition of first object; hinder fire growth; initiate evacuation; and complete evacuation.” The first two prevent the fire while the last three come into play after the fire starts. Smoke detection or another method of discovery can alert occupants to act — to evacuate or hinder the fire. Fire growth can be limited by depriving the fire of oxygen, by operating sprinklers, or by other means of fire control. The ability to complete evacuation depends on occupant characteristics, the location and size of the fire, and the availability of an exit.

Safer products can prevent many fires from starting by reducing or shutting off a heat source or separating a potential fuel from a heat source. Considerable progress has been made to make products safer, but more is left to be done. Equipment and other product redesigns or automatic shutoffs on heating equipment, cooking equipment, and irons can mitigate human error and improve safety. Such changes may be the most effective and inexpensive approach to fire prevention.

The CPSC issues product safety standards and recalls of unsafe consumer products and collects reports about such products from the public. Reports of unsafe consumer products can be made to the CPSC at saferproducts.gov. Site users can also search for recalls and other reports on unsafe products.
The earlier a fire is discovered, the more time there is to deal with it while it is still very small or to escape. Figure 22 shows that a smoke alarm was present in three-quarters of the reported home fires (74 percent), substantially less than the 96 percent of homes with smoke alarms that were reported in telephone surveys done for NFPA. However, almost three out of every five home fire deaths resulted from fires where either no smoke alarm was present (41 percent) or at least one alarm was present but did not operate (16 percent). To better understand smoke alarm reliability, it is helpful to exclude fires with no smoke alarms at all and fires in which the smoke did not reach the device. Smoke alarms operated in 89 percent of the fires in which they were present and the fire was considered large enough to activate them. Of the deaths in fires of sufficient size to activate alarms that were present, 73 percent took place in homes with operating smoke alarms.

Although smoke alarms and sprinklers are often considered separately, the greatest safety is found when both are present. Figure 23 shows that the death rate per 1,000 reported home fires is lowest when both hardwired smoke alarms and sprinklers are present. Whether or not the systems operated was not considered in this analysis.

There are several things to consider when interpreting this data. Because these rates are based on reported fires only, they are dependent on which fires were reported. The lifesaving impact of battery-powered smoke alarms is likely underestimated. CPSC’s survey of unreported residential fires found that 97 percent of home fires were handled without the fire department.
Discovering a fire when it is in its earliest stages increases the likelihood of the occupants being able to handle it themselves. Monitored alarm systems that automatically generate a fire department response when smoke detectors are activated typically have hardwired smoke detectors. This results in a larger share of minor fires being reported in structures with hardwired alarms. In many cases, the occupants were alerted to and dealt with the fire before the fire department arrived. Sprinklers were more likely to be present in properties with monitored smoke detection systems than in properties without them.

Some problems cannot be addressed by the fire service or fire protection alone. Norwegian researchers Gjøsund, Almkov, and Halvorsen cited research indicating that a majority of fatal fire victims in Norway had some kind of vulnerability, such as drug or alcohol use, physical or cognitive challenges, low socioeconomic status, or another situation that would make an individual less likely to discover a fire in its early stages or to mitigate or safely evacuate from a fire. Vulnerability can be reduced if the individual lives in a home that addresses these issues. They wrote, “A person’s actual vulnerability is determined by a municipality’s organizational and economic capability to provide appropriate organizational and technological measures.”

Fire prevention is only one of the many challenges these individuals face. Although municipalities sometimes map user needs for home care services, they often don’t consult with the fire service. A lack of resources can make interagency coordination less likely and little guidance exists on how different agencies should work together. Regulations are also generally sector specific.\(^{28}\)

Firefighters often provide emergency medical services, assist after falls, and notice dangers before a fire occurs. In many cases, they identify problems that are beyond the scope of fire department capabilities. Assistance from social services, public health departments, or other organizations is often needed. As in Norway, different areas of the US provide services in different ways. Navigating the systems to find the appropriate resources is often difficult.

---

\(^{31}\) Fires in properties that were under construction or that had partial sprinkler systems or systems that did not operate because they were not in the fire area are not included in this table. Fires with NFIRS confined fire incident types 113–118 were excluded from the calculations of operation and effectiveness because of the scarcity of usable data.
Methodology

Supporting tables for all the fires in homes, one- or two-family homes, and in apartments or multifamily homes are available online here.

Unless otherwise specified, the statistics in this analysis are national estimates of fires reported to US local fire departments and exclude fires reported only to federal or state agencies or industrial fire brigades. Estimates are projections based on the detailed information collected in the US Fire Administration’s National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS) and the National Fire Protection Association’s annual fire experience survey. Except for property use and incident type, fires with unknown or unreported data were allocated proportionally in the calculations of national estimates.

In general, any fire that occurs in or on a structure was considered a structure fire, even if the fire was limited to the structure’s contents and the building itself was not damaged. Only civilian (non-firefighter) casualties are included in this analysis. For more information, see How NFPA's National Estimates Are Calculated for Home Structure Fires.

The causes shown are those that are well-defined and have clear prevention strategies or have historically been of interest. The data comes from several NFIRS data elements. Double counting is possible.

For more information see NFPA’s Methodology and Definitions Used in “Leading Causes of Structure Fires” Tables.

Casualty and loss projections can be heavily influenced by the inclusion or exclusion of one unusually serious fire. Fires are rounded to the nearest hundred, deaths and injuries to the nearest ten, and property damage to the nearest million dollars. Estimates of zero may be true zeroes or may have been rounded to zero. Percentages were calculated on unrounded estimates. Annual averages do not include inflation adjustments.
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