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Errata No.: USS106-November 2016-01

Reference 1: Page viii, 3rd paragraph under “Training” at the bottom of the page.

*Hazmat training, size of need among all departments.* Overall, 60% 29% of all departments provide Hazmat but have not formally trained all their personnel involved in Hazmat, up from 50% in 2010. (See Figure 1-11.)

Reference 2: Page ix, Bulleted list.

- Fire prevention, 28%
- Code enforcement, 24%
- Technical rescue, 22%
- EMS, 20%
- Wildland firefighting, 15%
- Traffic control, 13%
- Hazmat, 2%

Reference 3: Page x, Bulleted list at top of page

- Wildland firefighting, 71%
- Hazmat, 68% 54%
- Structural firefighting, 65%
- Fire prevention, 62%
- Hazmat, 54%
- EMS, 35%
Need for departments providing Hazmat: Departments that perform Hazmat response but have not formally trained all their involved personnel constituted 67% 44% of departments that provide Hazmat, down from 73% in 2001 and largely unchanged from 65% in 2010. (See Figure 1-10.)

Size of need among all departments: An estimated 60% 29% of all departments provide Hazmat but have not formally trained all their personnel involved in Hazmat, slightly up from 57% in 2001 and up from 50% in 2010. (See Figure 1-11.)
Figure 1-10. Need for Departments Providing Hazmat: Percent with Personnel Who Are Not All Formally Trained, by Size of Community, for Four Studies
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Figure 1-11. Size of Need Among All Departments: Percent That Provide Hazmat and Need Training by Size of Community, for Four Studies

Reference 7: Page 22, Figure 1-11, All numbers associated with year 2015 in bar graph.
New bar graph:

Figure 1-11. Size of Need Among All Departments:
Percent That Provide Hazmat and Need Training
by Size of Community, for Four Studies

Reference 8: Page 72, 2\textsuperscript{nd} column from left under “No Certification”, row 9 and row 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Protected</th>
<th>No Certification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500,000 or more</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250,000 to 499,999</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000 to 249,999</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000 to 99,999</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000 to 49,999</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 to 24,999</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 to 9,999</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500 to 4,999</td>
<td>26.4% 24.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 2,500</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23.4% 23.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>