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Convergence of Historic Research and Current Technology
Example

- Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems, NFPA 13, published by the National Fire Protection Association
- Requirements from 1896 until recent times
- NFPA 13 committee to substantiate the requirements with scientific method
- Funding challenges
- Legacy testing used equipment that is obsolete today
The Rise of Modern Warehousing

Golden Age of Capitalism (1945-1970)

- High worldwide economic growth
- Metamorphosis of warehousing
- More products, more storage facilities, and more efficient, effective means of storing and retrieving products.
- “Maximize the cube”
- Mega-warehouses – Larger fire risk than the previous facilities due large size and high bay storage.
Fire History Examples

Cheapside Street Whisky Bond Fire (Glasgow) – March 1960 is Britain's worst peacetime fire services disaster

- Over a million gallons of whisky and rum stored
- Neighboring buildings were engulfed
- Nineteen fire service members died
Fire History Examples (Continued)

- James Watt Street Whiskey Fire (Glasgow) – November 1968, when 22 people lost their lives
- 36 Roland Street Charlestown (Boston, MA USA) 1966 – Paper warehouse fire £894,615 loss

Photograph courtesy of the Glasgow Fire Journal
Result
Rack Storage Fire Protection Committee

- August 1967 the Rack Storage Fire Protection Committee (RSFP) was formed
- Largest, most ambitious fire test program ever – Over 190 full and small scale tests, 1968-1975
- Budget – £141,946 (£775,333 in 2014 GBP)
- Included representatives of the fire insurance interests, rack manufacturers, and fire protection equipment manufactures
- RSFP committee transmitted information to the NFPA committee for use in standard making
Purpose and Objective

Purpose

- To eliminate the widening gap between material handling and storage methods and existing fire protection technology.

Objective

- To obtain, by means of fire tests, data, which could form the basis for development of fire protection standards for rack storage.
Project Approach

Three Subdivisions of Variables

- Storage Arrangement – Type of racks, aisle spacing, flue spaces, etc.
- Unit Load – Commodity and packaging
- Level of Protection – Sprinkler type, design density, and placement
Storage Arrangement

- Storage height, aisle width, rack configuration was selected based upon current practice
- Over 60 free burning tests
- Type of pallet, flue spaces, and vertical tier height
- Test array
  - 2 pallets wide x 2 pallets long x 2 pallets high
- Pallet loads
  - 42 in x 42 in x 42 in
- Verification tests, 3 pallets high, were conducted with sprinklers designed to 0.30 gpm/sq. ft.
Test Results

- Test results indicated that 6-inch flues between racks and 12-inch vertical separation between loads were most severe.
Unit Load – Commodity and Packaging

- 70 small-scale commodity tests
- Identify a commodity which was representative of the broad range of combustibles found in a warehouse
- Readily available
- Inexpensive
Unit Load – Commodity and Packaging (Continued)

- Double tri-wall carton, six layers of corrugated cardboard.
- Hallmark products (greeting cards, paper party favors, cups, plastic table flatware, etc.) – Tested in full scale and observed to be similar to real life burning characteristics of standard products found in a warehouse.
- Adding metal liner to the double tri-wall carton resulting in a commodity that closely resembled Hallmark products. This became the standard commodity.
- High-hazard materials – Tires, plastics, flammable liquids, etc., were outside the scope of the series.
Level of Protection

Full-scale Testing

- Test Facility – FM Global Research Center in West Gloucester, Rhode Island (old test center)
  - 200 ft. x 250 ft. (50,000 square feet)
- 60 full-scale tests – Majority of the testing:
  - ½-inch orifice, 165 degree sprinklers
  - Design Density 0.30-0.45 gpm\sq. ft.
  - 20 ft. storage height = 10 ft. clearance
  - 4 or 8 ft. aisles
  - Standard commodity (Class II)
  - Approximately 4 tests (7%) with solid shelves
Level of Protection (Continued)

Acceptance Criteria

- Test was considered acceptable if the fire did not:
  - Burn to the end of the ignition rack
  - Burn beyond the first row of cartons in the target arrays
  - Open sprinklers to the outside wall of the test facility
Level of Protection (Continued)
Level of Protection (Continued)

Solid Shelf Testing

- Solid Shelf Testing Summary
  - Standard Commodity
  - 20 Ft Storage/30 Ft ceiling
  - 165 Degree F, ½-inch orifice sprinklers
## Level of Protection (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Solid Shelf Size</th>
<th>Flue Space (In)</th>
<th>Aisle (Ft)</th>
<th>Sprinkler Density (gpm/sq. ft)</th>
<th>First Sprinkler Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>7.5ft x 24ft 180 sq. ft.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Provided-0.30 Required-0.37</td>
<td>4:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6LT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Provided-0.30 Required-0.37</td>
<td>3:11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>No. Sprinklers Operated</th>
<th>Commodity Consumed Main Rack (%)</th>
<th>Commodity Consumed East Target (%)</th>
<th>Commodity Consumed West Target (%)</th>
<th>Max. Ceiling Air Temp (Degrees F)</th>
<th>Bar Joist Steel Temp (Degrees F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1140-1:56</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1630-19:50</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Level of Protection (Continued)

Test 98

Test 66
## Solid Shelf Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Solid Shelf Dimensions</th>
<th>Flue Space (In)</th>
<th>Aisle (Ft)</th>
<th>Sprinkler Density (gpm/sq. ft.)</th>
<th>First Sprinkler Activation</th>
<th>No. Sprinklers Operated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>3.5ft x 7.75ft 27 sq. ft.</td>
<td>6 LT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Provided-0.45 Required-0.45</td>
<td>1:23</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>None (slave pallet)</td>
<td>6LT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Provided-0.45 Required-0.45</td>
<td>2:57</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Commodity Consumed Main Rack (%)</th>
<th>Commodity Consumed East Target (%)</th>
<th>Commodity Consumed West Target (%)</th>
<th>Max. Ceiling Air Temp (Degrees F)</th>
<th>Bar Joist Steel Temp (Degrees F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1545-6:00</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Level of Protection (Continued)

Test 147

Test 89
**Concerns**

**Use of Insufficient Sprinkler Design Density Test 98**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Solid Shelf Dimensions</th>
<th>Flue Space (In)</th>
<th>Aisle (Ft)</th>
<th>Sprinkler Density (gpm/sq. ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>7.5ft x 24ft 180 sq. ft.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Provided-0.30 Required-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6LT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Provided-0.30 Required-0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concerns (Continued)

Use of ½-Inch Orifice Sprinklers

- Sprinkler Performance vs. Orifice Size
  - Rack Storage: 20 ft. High Class II\30 ft. Ceiling
  - Density: 0.37 gpm\sq. ft.

## Current NFPA 13 Sprinkler Density \ Orifice Size Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Density (gpm\sq. ft.)</th>
<th>Minimum Sprinkler K Factor (gpm\min-psi ½)</th>
<th>Orifice Size (Inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 0.20</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.20-0.34</td>
<td>≥ 8.0</td>
<td>≥ 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.34</td>
<td>≥ 11.2</td>
<td>≥ 0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concerns (Continued)

Use of Slave Pallets
Review of Fire Behavior
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Rack Storage Fire Growth Rate

Convective Heat Release Rates per Height of Rack Storage Various Flue Space Width

Ingason H.- Effects of Flue Spaces and the Initial In-Rack Plume Flow-Fire Safety Science, Seventh International Symposium
Rack Storage Fire Protection Committee's Opinion

Minimum 6” flues

One level of sprinklers required in racks.

Double row rack
Observations – General

- Legacy fire testing provides a window into the technical level of science at that time
- Background and a starting point for future research
- Relevance of the work should always be considered
Observations – Specific

- The legacy fire research regarding solid shelf fire protection was appropriate for its time
- The sprinklers used in the testing are now out of date
- Modern sprinklers perform much better
- The limited number of tests makes the evaluation of the testing results challenging
Summary Statement

“These tests did not yield sufficient information to develop a comprehensive protection standard for solid shelf racks. Items such as increased ceiling density, use of bulkheads, other configuration in racks, and limitation of shelf length and depth should be considered.”

*Chester Schirmer, P.E.*

*The Rack Storage Fire Protection Committee*
Direction Forward

- Conduct testing program using modern sprinkler technology
- Expand number tests
- Test appropriate variables
Questions