Technical Committee on Fire Hose

(FHS-AAA)

NFPA 1962/1964 TC SDM Call

Thursday, May 04, 2017 13:00 – 16:00PM (ET)
Teleconference

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Introductions and Attendance (Attachment A)
3. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes (Attachment B)
4. Review Agenda
5. Address committee inputs for 1962/1964 (Attachments C & D)
6. Update on deadlines for 1961 submissions/content changes
7. Report on ICal testing from April 2017
8. Task Group (Conduction and Radiation) updates
9. FPRF project #2 status
10. Goal setting and Project Planning to meet said goals
11. Next Meeting- workflow and timeline of relevant documents
12. Old Business/New Business
13. Adjourn Meeting

Attachment A: Technical Committee Roster

Address List No Phone

05/01/2017
Jacqueline Wilmot

Fire Hose

FHS-AAA

Andrew D. Ellison **SE** 04/08/2015
Chair **FHS-AAA**
Unified Investigations and Science
46 Moynihan Road
South Hamilton, MA 01982

Jason Goodale **U** 10/27/2009
Secretary **FHS-AAA**
Loveland Fire Rescue
3818 Martin Lane
Johnstown, CO 80534-7415

Michael S. Aubuchon, Sr. **M** 08/09/2012
Principal **FHS-AAA**
North American Fire Hose Corporation
PO Box 1968
Santa Maria, CA 93456-1968

Bill C. Betz **U** 04/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Fairfax County Fire & Rescue Department
11206 Bluffs View
Spotsylvania, VA 22551-8935

Christopher B. Budzinski **E** 08/17/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
City of Asheville Fire Department
PO Box 7148
Asheville, NC 28802
Alternate: Ronald Nathan Miller

Jonathan R. Cares **U** 04/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Town of Londonderry Fire Rescue
228 Windham Road
Pelham, NH 03076-2250

Michael Cedrone **I** 04/04/2017
Principal **FHS-AAA**
FM Global
185 Manville Hill Road
Unit 306
Cumberland, RI 02864
FM Global

Thomas G. Farruggia **IM** 1/1/1992
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Illinois Fire & Safety Company
702 South Rohlwing Road
Addison, IL 60101
National Association of Fire Equipment Distributors

Brian Fink **E** 12/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Fire Department City of New York
2175 Walton Avenue
Bronx, NY 10453-2444
Fire Department City of New York
Alternate: Gregg Burzine

James E. Glatts **IM** 7/23/2008
Principal **FHS-AAA**
FireOne
PO Box 1040
Drexel Hill, PA 19026

William T. Graves **SE** 10/18/2011
Principal **FHS-AAA**
William Graves Associates LLC
215 Gates Road, Unit 0
Little Ferry, NJ 07643

Sean Gray **SE** 11/30/2016
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Cobb County Fire And Emergency Services
139 Lake Reserve Way
Canton, GA 30115

Jeff Hebenstreit **RT** 08/11/2014
Principal **FHS-AAA**
UL LLC
484 Tamarach Drive
Edwardsville, IL 62025-5246

Stephen Jackson **IM** 10/29/2012
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Waterway Hudson Valley
PO Box 92
Hyde Park, NY 12538
Alternate: Jim Ford

Address List No Phone

05/01/2017
Jacqueline Wilmot

Fire Hose

FHS-AAA

Jayme L. Kahle **E** 08/11/2014
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Rincon Valley Fire District
14550 East Sands Ranch Road
Vail, AZ 85641-8862

Brian P. Kazmierzak **E** 04/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Penn Township Fire Department
19960 Jackson Road
Mishawaka, IN 46544

Jonathan Larrabee **M** 10/29/2012
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Kochek Company, Inc.
75 Highland Drive
Putnam, CT 06260
Alternate: Gregory Kozey

Duane Leonhardt **M** 1/14/2005
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Mercedes Textiles Ltd.
5838 Cypihot
St. Laurent, QC H4S 1Y5 Canada
Fire Equipment Manufacturers' Association

Mark Allen Lighthill **M** 04/04/2017
Principal **FHS-AAA**
KFH Industries
2926 Columbia Highway
Dothan, AL 36302

Michael Mayer **M** 04/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Task Force Tips
3701 Innovation Way
Valparaiso, IN 46383

David Quick **U** 04/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Manchester Fire Department
38 Summit Road
Bedford, NH 03110-5659
Alternate: Nick Keith Proulx

Marc T. Radecky **IM** 12/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
FireCatt LLC
3250 West Big Beaver Road
Suite 544
Troy, MI 48084-2909

Jason D. Riggenbach **M** 8/5/2009
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Akron Brass Company/IDEX Corporation
343 Venture Boulevard
Wooster, OH 44691
Alternate: Jeffrey L. Benson

John D. Schreiber **U** 08/03/2016
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Colorado Springs Fire Department
375 Printers Parkway
Colorado Springs, CO 80910-3191

John W. Stacey **E** 01/01/1991
Principal **FHS-AAA**
25249 Coral Lane
Glenwood, IA 51534
International Association of Fire Chiefs

Tim Vanderlip **U** 1/16/2003
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Los Angeles County Fire Department
3529 Radcliffe Road
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

David Walsh **E** 04/08/2015
Principal **FHS-AAA**
Boston Fire Department
217 Walnut Street
Reading, MA 01867-3952
Alternate: Douglas Menard

Samuel Wu **RT** 3/4/2008
Principal **FHS-AAA**
US Department of Agriculture
Forest Service
National Technology & Development Program
444 East Bonita Avenue
San Dimas, CA 91773

Address List No Phone

05/01/2017
Jacqueline Wilmot

Fire Hose

FHS-AAA

Nicholas A. Nava **SE** 12/08/2015
Voting Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Exponent
17000 Science Drive, Suite 200
Bowie, MD 20715-4427

Jeffrey L. Benson **M** 1/10/2008
Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Akron Brass Company
343 Venture Boulevard
Wooster, OH 44691
Principal: Jason D. Riggenbach

Gregg Burzine **E** 04/04/2017
Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Fire Department City of New York
34-11 47th Avenue
Long Island City, NY 11101
Principal: Brian Fink

Jim Ford **IM** 11/30/2016
Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Waterway North Jersey
675 20 Raitan Road
Clark, NJ 07066
Principal: Stephen Jackson

Gregory Kozey **M** 4/4/1997
Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Kochek Company, Inc.
75 Highland Drive
Putnam, CT 06260
Principal: Jonathan Larrabee

Douglas Menard **U** 08/03/2016
Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Boston Fire Department
115 Southampton Street
Boston, MA 02115
Principal: David Walsh

Ronald Nathan Miller **E** 11/30/2016
Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Asheville Fire Department
100 Court Plaza
Asheville, NC 28801
Principal: Christopher B. Budzinski

Nick Keith Proulx **U** 04/05/2016
Alternate **FHS-AAA**
Gilford
12 School Street
Laconia, NH 03246
Principal: David Quick

Jacqueline Wilmot 1/18/2016
Staff Liaison **FHS-AAA**
National Fire Protection Association
1 Batterymarch Park
Quincy, MA 02169-7471

Attachment B: Previous Meeting Minutes

NFPA 1962 & 1964
Technical Committee on Fire Hose (FHS-AAA)
First Draft Meeting – May 3, 2016
Adobe Connect Web Meeting and Teleconference

Minutes of Meeting

1. Meeting called to order by Chairman Ellison at 1:05PM EST, Tuesday, May 3rd , 2016

2. Attendance was completed.

Attendees:

- Andrew Ellison, *Chair, Unified Investigations and Science*
- Jason Goodale, *Loveland Fire Rescue*
- Bill Betz, *Fairfax County Fire & Rescue Department*
- Jonathan Cares, *Town of Londonderry Fire Rescue*
- Thomas Farruggia, *Illinois Fire & Safety Company,* Representing *NAFED*
- Brian Fink, *Fire Department City of New York*
- James Glatts, *FireOne*
- William Graves, *William Graves Associates LLC*
- Jeff Hebenstreit, *UL LLC*
- Jayme Kahle, *Rincon Valley Fire District*
- Brian Kazmierzak, *Penn Township Fire Department*
- Duane Leonhardt, *Mercedes Textiles Ltd.,* Representing *Fire Equipment Manufacturers' Association*
- Toby Mathews, *Key Fire Hose Corporation*
- Michael Mayer, *Task Force Tips*
- Paul Prevost, *Clearwater Regional Fire Rescue Service*
- David Pritchard, *Pritchard & Associates (NC), Inc.*
- David Quick, *Manchester Fire Department*
- Marc Radecky, *FireCatt LLC*
- Jason Riggenbach, *Akron Brass Company*
- Tim Vanderlip, *Los Angeles County Fire Department*
- Stephen Jackson, *Waterway Hudson Valley*
- Jonathan Larrabee, *Kochek Company, Inc.*
- Jacqueline Wilmot, *NFPA Staff*

Guests

- Ron Stanley, *All American Hose*
- Mark Donovan, *ATI*
- Joe Cieplak, *CTEK*
- John Jenkins
- Kathy Crosby-Bell, *Last Call Foundation*

3. Andrew Ellison, Chair, reviewed the agenda and provided introductory and procedural information.

4. Staff Liaison, Jacqueline Wilmot, provided a brief presentation covering committee member. responsibilities, actions, revision cycle and the upcoming new process.

5. The meeting minutes of April 17th-18th 2012 were reviewed and accepted.

6. The committee proceeded to review and act on public inputs for NFPA 1962.

7. 4 Committee Inputs were created in NFPA 1962.

8. The committee proceeded to address public inputs for NFPA 1964.

9. 1 Committee Input was created in NFPA 1964.

10. The committee agreed to address the remaining 6 public inputs and discuss New Business during the scheduled NFPA 1961 Second Draft Meeting on Wednesday, May 25th – Thursday, May 26th in Denver, CO.

11. Meeting adjourned at 5:15PM EST, Tuesday, May 3rd, 2016.

Attachment C: NFPA 1962 Committee Inputs

Committee Input No. 5-NFPA 1962-2016 [New Section after 4.8.1]

TITLE OF NEW CONTENT

Type your content here ...

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Jaqueline Wilmot
Organization: [Not Specified]
Street Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Submittal Date: Tue May 03 15:47:36 EDT 2016

Committee Statement

Committee Statement: The committee is aware that the fire resistance of attack hose is a source of concern and is looking forward to the Fire Protection Research Foundation Workshop on Fire Hose scheduled for May 2016 to provide us with additional technical information to address Public Input Number 12.

Response Message:

Public Input No. 12-NFPA 1962-2015 [New Section after 4.8.1]

Committee Input No. 6-NFPA 1962-2016 [Section No. 4.8.1]

4.8.1

Hose manufactured prior to July 1987 to meet the requirements of the 1979 and previous editions of NFPA 1961, *Standard on Fire Hose*, shall be removed from service.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Jaqueline Wilmot
Organization: [Not Specified]
Street Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Submittal Date: Tue May 03 16:32:36 EDT 2016

Committee Statement

Committee Statement: A significant change to NFPA 1961 was made in 1987 which is why 1987 is cited in the standard. This change was not intended to indicate a mandatory retirement date after 25 years. No technical substantiation has been provided to indicate that a mandatory retirement age of 25 years is necessary. A task group has been formed and may request research be conducted by the Fire Protection Research Foundation to investigate this subject.

Response Message:

Public Input No. 10-NFPA 1962-2015 [Section No. 4.8.1]

Committee Input No. 7-NFPA 1962-2016 [Section No. 4.8.2 [Excluding any Sub-Sections]]

Hose manufactured during July 1987 or after that date to the 1987 or subsequent editions of NFPA 1961 shall be service tested as specified in Section 4.8.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Jaqueline Wilmot
Organization: [Not Specified]
Street Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Submittal Date: Tue May 03 16:34:33 EDT 2016

Committee Statement

Committee Statement: A significant change to NFPA 1961 was made in 1987 which is why 1987 is cited in the standard. This change was not intended to indicate a mandatory retirement date after 25 years. No technical substantiation has been provided to indicate that a mandatory retirement age of 25 years is necessary. A task group has been formed and may request research be conducted by the Fire Protection Research Foundation to investigate this subject

Response Message:

Public Input No. 11-NFPA 1962-2015 [Section No. 4.8.2 [Excluding any Sub-Sections]]

Committee Input No. 9-NFPA 1962-2016 [Chapter B]

Annex B Specifying and Procuring Fire Hose

This annex is not a part of the requirements of this NFPA document but is included for informational purposes only.

B.1 General.

Fire hose is one of the most important tools that a fire fighter uses. Fire hose must provide many years of reliable service along with the couplings, nozzles, adapters, and appliances that are used with fire hose. The purchase of new fire hose involves an important investment and should be treated as such. A purchase should be made only after a detailed study of the fire department's needs, taking into consideration other equipment the department uses or plans to acquire.

B.2 Determining the Qualities and Characteristics Needed for Fire Hose.

B.2.1

The first consideration in planning the purchase of fire hose is determining the characteristics desired of the new hose. Desired characteristics should be identified and then prioritized *(for a guide, see Figure B.2.1)*. Those characteristics can include the following:

(1) *Size/diameter.* The hose size or diameter will affect the flow capabilities of the hose. If the hose is going to be used for handheld hose lines, it is important to match the size of the hose with the flow of the nozzle. A nozzle that flows 200 gpm attached to a hose line that has friction loss of 60 psi/100 ft when flowing 200 gpm is not a good match.

(2) *Length.* In what lengths is the hose to be coupled? Hose is typically coupled in either 50 ft (15 m) or 100 ft (30 m) lengths but can be coupled in any length, which will affect the number of couplings required.

(3) *Application.* How is the hose to be used? For example, a fire department might want attack hose to be used in a standpipe pack to have characteristics different from those of attack hose that will be carried preconnected on a pumper. Large-diameter hose that will be supplying a pumper from a hydrant is different from large-diameter hose that will be supplying elevated stream fire apparatus or a standpipe system in a building.

(4) *Color.* Hose is available in a variety of jacket colors. Fire departments often like to color code hose to specific applications. If specific colors are desired, the purchaser needs to specify the amount of hose to be purchased in each color.

(5) *Construction.* Fire hoses use a variety of natural and synthetic fabrics and elastomers in their construction. These materials allow the hoses to be stored wet without rotting and to resist the damaging effects of exposure to sunlight and chemicals. Modern hoses are also lighter weight than older designs, which has helped reduce the physical strain on firefighters. The synthetic fibers provide additional strength and better resistance to abrasion, and the fiber yarns can be dyed various colors or left natural. Coatings and liners include synthetic rubbers such as styrene butadiene, ethylene propylene, chloroprene, polyurethane, and nitrile butadiene. These compounds provide various degrees of resistance to chemicals, temperature, ozone, ultraviolet (UV) radiation, mold, mildew, and abrasion. Different coatings and liners are chosen for specific applications.

(6) *Packability.* Fire apparatus has limited space for the storage of fire hose, whether that hose is stored preconnected to the pump for initial attack or in a hose bed where the amount needed can be deployed and the remainder left on the apparatus. Some hose is packed lying flat while other hose is packed standing on its edge. It is important to consider the space on the apparatus where the hose will be carried and how a specific type of hose will pack into that space. Does it fold tightly at the ends of the hose bed? Is it easily deployed? A hose bed with a rigid hose bed cover could limit how the hose can be packed or how much hose can be packed in the hose bed and still allow quick and easy deployment. The coupling on the fire hose can affect the packability of the hose into a given space. Hose can be purchased in longer lengths to reduce the number of couplings that must be accommodated in a given space. If preconnected hose lines are in multiples of 100 ft (30 m), consider buying 100 ft (30 m) lengths of hose rather than 50 ft (15 m) lengths.

(7) *Friction loss.* The friction loss per 100 ft (30 m) of fire hose can vary tremendously for the same diameter hose. While a fire department may want a hose with as low a friction loss as possible, other desired characteristics can affect the availability of hose with all the desired characteristics. As part of the planning, the department should look at how the hose will be used and the importance of reducing the friction loss on that application. The effects of various friction loss on the application should be taken into account when considering what is an acceptable friction loss.

(8) *Weight.* If the weight of the hose is a factor, the maximum weight per 50 ft (15 m) or 100 ft (30 m) needs to be considered. Does that weight include the couplings? Weight is especially critical in two areas. If large-diameter hose is to be carried on older fire apparatus, the gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of the apparatus can limit the amount of hose that can be carried. Also, if hose is to be carried by fire fighters in bundles such as high-rise or standpipe packs, lighter is better as long as the hose meets the requirements for the operating pressure at which it will be used.

(9) *Kink resistance.* Layflat fire hose has a tendency to fold, or “kink,” when used at low pressures. This is common in operational use, an example being a hose dragged around a doorway. When a hose kinks, two things happen. First, the waterflow through the hose is throttled and therefore reduced. Second, at the point of kinking, a high spot is formed that leads to excessive abrasion and early failure of the hose. Fire hose should be flexible when there is no water in it to allow easy packing but resist bending to the point of kinking when charged with water.

(10) *Cost.* The amount of money budgeted is always part of the purchasing process, but other costs also should be considered, such as higher quality or longer service life relative to the long-term cost of the purchase. Spending a little more money initially can save money in the future because of a less frequent replacement schedule.

(11) *Expected service life.* The expected service life is how long the purchaser expects to be able to use the hose before its scheduled or planned replacement. Fire departments should have an established replacement schedule for fire hose. The characteristics of fire hose can change as new materials and methods of construction are introduced. The improved characteristics of newer hose could warrant replacement of existing hose on an accelerated schedule.

(12) *Warranty.* The expected service life and the warranty period are not the same. The warranty is an assurance by the manufacturer to the buyer that specific facts or conditions are true or will happen for a specified period of time; the buyer is permitted to rely on that assurance and seek some type of remedy if it is not true or not followed. The purchaser should evaluate what the warranty covers and what it does not cover and for what periods of time.

(13) *Manufactured in accordance with NFPA standards.* At a minimum, any hose purchased should meet the edition of NFPA 1961, *Standard on Fire Hose*, that is in effect at the time of purchase. However, it is important to recognize that the standard establishes minimum requirements. The purchaser should carefully review the standard and determine if requirements that go beyond the minimum are desired.

(14) *Independent third-party listing or approval.* Currently, NFPA 1961 does not require fire hose manufacturers to have an independent third-party test or to certify the test results of fire hose. However, such services are available and should be considered, particularly if the purchaser does not have a good program for checking new fire hose before it is placed in service.

(15) *Normal operating pressure.*NFPA 1961 establishes minimum service test pressures for different types of fire hose. These service test pressures are about 110 percent of the expected normal operating pressure. If the hose will be used at pressures above the minimum service test pressure, the hose should be required to have a higher service test pressure and thus a higher operating pressure.

(16) *Service test pressure.* Fire hose often has a designed service test pressure higher than the user plans to operate the hose at or service test it to. NFPA 1961 allows fire hose to be marked with a service test pressure lower than the manufacturer's design service test pressure as long as it is not below the minimum specified in the standard.

Figure B.2.1 Guide to Determining Qualities and Characteristics Needed for Fire Hose.



QUALITIES AND CHARACTERISTICS NEEDED FOR FIRE HOSE

Desirable Qualities and Characteristics	Order of Importance	Notes
Abrasion resistance		
Application		
Certification to NFPA standards		
Chalking		
Chemical resistance		
Cold resistance		
Color		
Component compatibility		
Construction		
Cost		
Couplings		
Ease of advancement		
Expected life until replacement		
Flexibility		
Folds		
Friction loss		
Heat resistance		
Kink resistance		
Length		
Lining and cover properties		
Normal operating pressure and service test pressure		
Ozone resistance		
Packability		
Repairability		
Size/diameter		
Third-party listing or approval		
Warranty		
Weight		

B.2.2

The second consideration in the purchase of a fire hose is the associated equipment and components. These components include new and existing couplings, nozzles, adapters, and appliances. Are all components compatible in terms of operating pressure, connection, weight limits (GVWR and carrying capacity of the apparatus), and storage space?

It is important that all components in the water delivery system are compatible and that it is understood what the limitations are. The system is only as robust as its weakest link. Many components can be connected together, but that does not mean they can all be used at the same operating pressure. Today, the fire hose may be the strongest component in the system. All components need to have an operating pressure rated at or above the needed fireground pressures to deliver their capacity.

B.3 Writing the Specifications.

B.3.1

Once the desired characteristics have been identified and prioritized, the purchaser needs to write a specification that defines the characteristics needed and the quality desired. NFPA 1961, *Standard on Fire Hose*, provides the minimum technical requirements that new fire hose is expected to meet. Specifications should take into consideration the existing, proposed, and future use of the hose and the components.

B.3.2

The purchaser should also define in the specifications the warranty desired for the hose. The warranty is a written guarantee of the integrity of the hose that defines the manufacturer's responsibility within a given time period. If a second party, such as a dealer, is involved in modifying hose that is warranted by the original manufacturer, the responsibility for warranty work should be clearly understood by the original manufacturer, the second party, and the purchaser.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Jacqueline Wilmot
Organization: National Fire Protection Assoc
Street Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Submittal Date: Thu May 26 15:58:39 EDT 2016

Committee Statement

Committee Statement: The Technical Committee has established a task group to revise Annex B in an effort to provide users and procurement officers with more detailed information which will aid them in selecting fire hoses, appliances and nozzles that are appropriate for their intended use.

Response Message:

Attachment D: NFPA 1964 Committee Inputs

Committee Input No. 7-NFPA 1964-2016 [New Section after 4.1]

4.X High Pressure Nozzles and Appliances
4.Y Ultra-High Pressure Nozzles and Appliance

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Jaqueline Wilmot
Organization: [Not Specified]
Street Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Submittal Date: Wed May 25 15:59:37 EDT 2016

Committee Statement

Committee Statement: Users are using hoses at high and ultra-high pressure therefore requirements may need to be provided in NFPA 1964. A task group has been developed to investigate the need for requirements in this document related to high and ultra-high pressures. See FR-6-NFPA 1964-2016.

Response Message:

Committee Input No. 2-NFPA 1964-2016 [New Section after 7.4]

Fire Hose Appliances (Moving language from NFPA 1965 to NFPA 1964) - Placeholder

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Jaqueline Wilmot
Organization: [Not Specified]
Street Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Submittal Date: Tue May 03 16:44:05 EDT 2016

Committee Statement

Committee Statement: A task group has been developed to consider combining NFPA 1965 and NFPA 1964. Since the revision cycle for NFPA 1964 occurs first the language of NFPA 1965 could be incorporated into NFPA 1964.

Response Message: